

REVIEWER:			
IRL NEP FY 2017-2018 Work Plan Proposal Rating Sheet			
Project Title:			
Project Sponsor:			
RFP Category: (Restoration/Engagement/Science & Innovative Technology/Resilient Coastal Communities)			
Requested Funding: \$			
Applicant Match Amount: \$		% Match of Total Project Cost: %	
Evaluation Criteria		Points Available	Points Earned
Section 1 - Title Page			
Section 2 - Project Specifics			
A. Project Goals and Objectives			
Project goals are clearly stated, have quantifiable targets, are achievable within stated timetable, and outlines a plan for project longevity and future funding from other sources. Outputs and outcomes are clearly identified.		5	
B. Technical Merit/Justification			
Proposal demonstrates the need to implement project; location is appropriate to achieve maximum benefits; enhances existing or ongoing programs; project design is rigorous and appropriate for achieving the stated objectives; stated targets are achievable; project is not redundant to other completed or ongoing efforts; and can be completed within proposed budget and timeline.		15	
C. Benefit(s) to IRL			
Evaluate the specific benefits to be gained. To what degree the proposed project protects or restores the IRL, achieves behavior change, benefits target species, benefit habitat quality/quantity, enhance water quality or redirect fresh water discharges away from the Lagoon, and/or educates the public about the IRL.		20	
D. Local Commitment			
Proposed project is included in an adopted management plan other than the IRL CCMP.		5	
E. Project Readiness			
Evaluate to what extent the project design is complete, applicant has secured required regulatory permits and approvals, and has/is ready to seek contract bids. Applicant has identified project start and completion dates and project milestones and dates, and has clearly outlined major project tasks and identified any tasks performed by subcontractors or project partners.		10	
F. Monitoring and/or Evaluation and Maintenance Plans			
Evaluate if measurable project outcomes are defined, if project has an evaluation plan and if applicable, monitoring and maintenance plans, whether the proposal identifies key points where evaluation, maintenance and monitoring can occur, and evaluation and maintenance plans clearly outline sustainability beyond the project period.		10	
G. Education and/or Outreach Components			
Evaluate to what extent the project includes efforts to educate the public through workshops and meetings, promotes behavioral changes that benefit the IRL, includes efforts to involve, train, or utilize volunteers, and/or involves educational efforts in partnership with schools and teachers. How will the outreach/education aspects of the project be measured/reported?		10	
H. Applicant Experience and Past Performance			
Evaluate the qualifications of the project team who will undertake the proposed project. Is applicant capable of meeting all deliverables and reporting requirements? Has applicant's past performance with the IRL NEP has been rated as satisfactory or above? (if applicable)		10	
I. Special Requirements – Addressing TMDLs, Climate Change, etc.			
Does the project help to implement an adopted Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) or aid with TMDL implementation/enhancement? Does the project promote climate change adaptation or implement strategies to address sea-level rise? Does the project support small IRL communities that are economically disadvantaged or under-represented? Are there any "unique aspects" of the project (i.e. historical, archeological or cultural significance, high visibility)?		5	
Section 3 - Project Funding			
A. Partnership and Cost Sharing			
Project partners, if any, are identified and linked to the project components they will accomplish. All sources of matching funds are detailed. (Capital and habitat restoration projects are required to have a minimum 50% match. Education, research, monitoring must demonstrate a minimum 25% match)		10	
TOTAL SCORE		100.00	0.00